March 08, 2012

Leggette, Brashears & Graham 6 Executive Drive Suite 109 Farmington, CT 06032

Attn: Mr. Mike Susca

Please find attached laboratory report(s) for the samples submitted on: **February 22, 2012.** 

All pertinent information for this analysis is located on the report. Should it be necessary to contact us regarding billing or the test results, please have the following information readily available:

Lab No.

: 0212259

PO/Job No.

: WENDEL 1

Invoice No.

173982

Customer No.: 243

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Stephen J. Franco Laboratory Director

PH-0547



STEPHEN J. FRANCO
Laboratory Director
PHONE ■ 203/634-3731

www.ctl-web.com / ctestlab@erols.com 165 GRACEY AVENUE ■ MERIDEN, CT ■ 06451

# REASONABLE CONFIDENCE PROTOCOL LABORATORY ANALYSIS QA/QC CERTIFICATION FORM

Labo	oratory Name: Connecticut Testing Lab's, Inc. Client: Leggette, Brashears & Graham, Inc.									
Proje	ect Location:	WENDEL 1								
Labo	ratory Sample Id(s):	Number: Sampling Date(s): 2/21/12								
List I Used	st RCP Method(s) CT FTPH 8082 CT Lab #: 0212259 Revision 1.0									
Read	activation Date Reactivated: 3/1/12									
1	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were all specified QA/QC performance criteria followed, including the requirement to explain any criteria falling outside of acceptable guidelines, as specified in the CTDEP method-specific Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents?									
1A	Were the method spo	ecified preservation and holding time	e requirements m	net? 🛛 Yes 🗀 No						
1B		ods only: Was the VPH or EPH methodifications (see Section 11.3 of resp		☐ Yes ☐ No						
	methods)	(**************************************		⊠ N/A						
2	Were all samples received by the laboratory in a condition consistent with that described on the associated chain-of-custody document(s)?									
3	Were samples receiv	red at an appropriate temperature (<	:6° C°)?	☐ Yes ⊠ No						
ა		☐ Iced ☐ No Ice		□ N/A						
4		rmance criteria specified in the CTD documents achieved?	EP Reasonable	Yes ⊠ No						
5	a) Were reporting lim	its specified or referenced on the ch	nain-of-custody?	⊠ Yes □ No						
	b) Were these report	ing limits met?		⊠ Yes □ No						
6	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were results reported for all constituents identified in the method-specific analyte lists presented in the Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents?									
7	Are project-specific r data set?	natrix spikes and laboratory duplicat	es included in thi	nis ☐ Yes ☒ No						
be pr	Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information must be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A, or #1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Reasonable Confidence." This form may not be altered and all questions must be answered.									
I, the undersigned, attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, to the best of my knowledge and belief and based upon my personal inquiry of those responsible for providing the information contained in this analytical report, such information is accurate and complete.										
	Station									
	orized Signature: Position: Lab Director PH-0547 ed Name: Stephen J. Franco Date: 03/07/12									
Nam	ame of Laboratory. Connecticut Testing Laboratories. Inc									

# **CASE NARRATIVE**

# Connecticut Testing Laboratories, Inc.

## Prepared for:

Leggette, Brashears & Graham 6 Executive Drive Suite 109 Farmington, CT 06032 Order#: 0212259

Project: WENDEL 1

The following samples were received as indicated below and on the attached Chain of Custody record. All analyses were performed within the holding time and with acceptable quality control results unless otherwise noted.

SAMPLE ID	LAB ID	MATRIX	<b>Date Collected</b>	Date Received
B-100 (0-1)	2759	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-100 (2-3)	2760	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-101 (0-1)	2761	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-102 (0-1)	2762	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-102 (2-3)	2763	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-103 (0-1)	2764	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-103 (2-3)	2765	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-104 (0-1)	2766	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-104 (2-3)	2767	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-105 (0-1)	2768	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-105 (2-3)	2769	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-106 (0-1)	2770	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-106 (2-3)	2771	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-107 (0-1)	2772	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-107 (2-3)	2773	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-108 (0-1)	2774	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-108 (2-3)	2775	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-109 (0-1)	2776	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-109 (2-2.5)	2777	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-110 (0-1)	2778	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-110 (2-3)	2779	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-110 (5-6)	2780	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-111 (0-1)	2781	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-111 (2-3)	2782	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-112 (0-1)	2783	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-112 (2-3)	2784	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-113 (0-0.5)	2785	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-114 (0-0.5)	2786	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012

# **CASE NARRATIVE**

## Connecticut Testing Laboratories, Inc.

### Prepared for:

Leggette, Brashears & Graham 6 Executive Drive Suite 109 Farmington, CT 06032

Order#: 0212259

Project:

WENDEL 1

The following samples were received as indicated below and on the attached Chain of Custody record. All analyses were performed within the holding time and with acceptable quality control results unless otherwise noted.

B-115 (0-0.5)	2787	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-116 (0-1)	2788	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-117 (0-1)	2789	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012
B-100 (0-1) DUP	2790	SOIL	02/21/2012	02/22/2012

Additional ETPH and PCB analyses were requested on 3/1/12.

Connecticut Testing Laboratories, Inc.

ETPH anomalies are noted in the RCP DQA summary table attached to this report.

The enclosed results of analyses are representative of the samples as received by the laboratory. Connecticut Testing Laboratories, Inc. makes no representations or certifications as to the methods of sample collection, sample identification, or transportation handling procedures used prior to our receipt of samples. To the best of my knowledge, the information contained in this report is accurate and complete.

Approved By:

Date: 3/7/12

Date Samples Received: 02/22/2012

Client Name: Leggette, Brashears & Graham

Report Date: 03/07/2012

CT ETPH/3545

CTL Lab No.: 0212259

PO No:

WENDEL 1

Analyst:

LP

### **RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

### CTETPH-RCP

Method #:

Matrix Type:

SOIL

CTL Sample No.:

2780

Field ID:

B-110 (5-6)

Date Analyzed:

02/29/2012

Date Extracted:

02/27/2012

Parameters	Units	RL			
CT ETPH	mg/kg	50	3,392		
1-Chloro-Octadecene	%		237		

RL=Reporting Level ND = None Detected

Connecticut Testing Laboratories, Inc. 165 Gracey Avenue / Meriden, CT 06451 (203) 634-3731 (Fax) 630-1336 Certification CT-PH0547 / MA-CT035 Date Samples Received: 02/22/2012

Client Name: Leggette, Brashears & Graham

PO No:

CTL Lab No.:

0212259

Report Date: 03/07/2012

WENDEL 1

Method #:

SW 3545/8082A

Analyst:

MTL

### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

### EPA Method 8082 RCP

Matrix Type:

SOIL

SOIL

SOIL

CTL Sample No.:

2764

2779 B-110 (2-3) 2789 B-117 (0-1)

Field ID: Date Analyzed: B-103 (0-1) 03/05/2012

03/05/2012

03/05/2012

Date Extracted:

03/01/2012

03/01/2012

03/01/2012

Parameters	Units	RL				
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	0.5	ND	ND	ND	
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	0.5	ND	ND	ND	
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	0.5	ND	ND	ND	
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	0.5	ND	ND	ND	
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	0.5	ND	ND	ND	
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	0.5	ND	ND	1.6	
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	0.5	ND	ND	ND	
PCBs, Total	mg/kg	0.5	ND	ND	1.6	
Decachlorobiphenyl-SR	%		95	95	106	
Tetrachloro-m-Xylene-SR	%		106	111	124	20

RL=Reporting Level ND = None Detected

Connecticut Testing Laboratories, Inc. 165 Gracey Avenue / Meriden, CT 06451 (203) 634-3731 (Fax) 630-1336 Certification CT-PH0547 / MA-CT035

# Connecticut Testing Laboratories, Inc.

# QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

## CTETPH-RCP

Order#: 0212259

<b>BLANK</b> SOIL	LAB-ID#	Sample Concentr.	Spike Concentr.	QC Test Result	Pct (%) Recovery	RPD
CT ETPH-mg/kg	0032226-02			ND		
CONTROL SOIL	LAB-ID#	Sample Concentr.	Spike Concentr.	QC Test Result	Pct (%) Recovery	RPD
CT ETPH-mg/kg	0032226-03		560	527	94.1%	

Type of QC	Surrogates	% Recovered	QC Limits (%)		
BLANK	1-Chloro-Octadecene	92%	50	150	
Type of QC	Surrogates	% Recovered	QC Limits (%)		
CONTROL	1-Chloro-Octadecene	83%	50	150	

# Connecticut Testing Laboratories, Inc.

# QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

### **EPA Method 8082 RCP**

Order#: 0212259

BLANK Sample Spike QC Test Pct (%) **RPD** LAB-ID# Concentr. Concentr. Result Recovery SOIL Aroclor 1016-mg/kg ND 0032241-02 Aroclor 1221-mg/kg ND 0032241-02 Aroclor 1232-mg/kg ND 0032241-02 Aroclor 1242-mg/kg ND 0032241-02 Aroclor 1248-mg/kg ND 0032241-02 Aroclor 1254-mg/kg ND 0032241-02 Aroclor 1260-mg/kg 0032241-02 ND

CONTROL SOIL	LAB-ID#	Sample Concentr.	Spike Concentr.	QC Test Result	Pct (%) Recovery	RPD
Aroclor 1016-mg/kg	0032241-03		10.0	10.0	100.%	
Aroclor 1260-mg/kg	0032241-03		10.0	10.2	102.%	
					1	

Type of QC	Surrogates	% Recovered	QC Limits (%)		
BLANK	Decachlorobiphenyl-SR	107%	30	150	
BLANK	Tetrachloro-m-Xylene-SR	94%	30	150	
Type of QC	Surrogates	% Recovered	QC Limits (%)		
CONTROL	Decachlorobiphenyl-SR	111%	30	150	
CONTROL	Tetrachloro-m-Xylene-SR	Tetrachloro-m-Xylene-SR 104% 30			

# CONNECTICUT TESTING LAB'S, INC.

# RCP DQA SUMMARY TABLE

CT ETPH

CTL Lab # 0212259, Revision 1.0

Client: LBG. Inc Project: Wendel 1

		Notes	No Anomalies	No Anomalies	Meets Method Requirements
		Criteria	≤30% RSD	See Method	+/- 20% D
	Calibr.	Date	2/10/12	2/10/12	2/10/12
	QC	Type	ICAL	ICV	DChk
		Analyte	CT ETPH	CT ETPH	СТ ЕТРН
	CTL	Sample #	2780	2780	2780

RPD ) Bias	No Anomalies	Meets Method Requirements	No Anomalies	No Anomalies	Matrix Interference	-	
s RPD							
Bias					Ξ	-	
Criteria	<b>□%0</b> E-/+	Pass	Targets <rl< td=""><td>60-120%R</td><td>50-150%R</td><td>50-150%R</td><td>50-150%R RPD&lt;30</td></rl<>	60-120%R	50-150%R	50-150%R	50-150%R RPD<30
% R					237		
Q%							
Spike Value					100		-
Result			ND		237		-
QC Type	CCAL	DChk	MB	CCS	SR	MS	MSD
	CT ETPH	CT ETPH	CT ETPH	CT ETPH	CT ETPH	CT ETPH	CT ETPH
	2780	2780	2780	2780	2780		

L=Low H= High NDR=Non Directional ICV= Initial Calibration Verification DChk= Discrimination Check ICAL = Initial Calibration

CCAL=Continuing Calibration

LCS= Laboratory Control Sample SR= Surrogate Recovery MB= Method Blank

MSD= Matrix Spike Duplicate MS= Matrix Spike

RPD= Relative Percent Difference %R= % Recovery

RSD= Relative Standard Deviation

%D=% Drift

# CONNECTICUT TESTING LAB'S, INC.

# RCP DQA SUMMARY TABLE

**EPA Method 8082** 

CTL Lab No.: 0212259, Revision 1.0 Client: Leggette, Brashers & Graham Project: WENDEL 1

The state of the s	Notes	No Anomalies
RCP	Criteria	"r" <u>&gt;</u> 0.990
Calibr.	Date	03/05/12
		6 Levels
oc	Type	ICAL
	Analyte	Ac1016, Ac1221, Ac1232, Ac1242, Ac 1248, Ac1254, Ac 1260
CTL	Sample #	2764, 2779 & 2789

RPD	Bias RPD Bias	No Anomalies		No Anomalies	No Anomalies	No Anomalies			No Anomalies
RCP	Criteria Bia	≤15% D		Targets <rl< td=""><td>40-140% R</td><td>30-150% R</td><td>40-140% R</td><td>&lt;50% RPD</td><td>≤15% D</td></rl<>	40-140% R	30-150% R	40-140% R	<50% RPD	≤15% D
	%D %R								
Spike	Value								
	Result			Q.					
oc	Type	Beg CCAL		MB	CS	SR	MS	MSD	End CCAL
		Ac1016, Ac 1260	Ac1016, Ac1221, Ac1232, Ac1242,	Ac 1248, Ac1254, Ac 1260	Ac1016, Ac1260	Decachlorobiphenyl, Tetrachloro-m- xylene	Ac1016, Ac1260	Ac1016, Ac1260	Ac1016, Ac1260
		2764, 2779 & 2789		2764, 2779 & 2789	2764, 2779 & 2789	2764, 2779 & 2789			2764, 2779 & 2789

L=Low H=High NDR=Non Directional

ICAL = Initial Calibration

Beg CCAL= Beginning Continuing ( End CCAL=End Continuing Calibra MB= Method Blank

LCS= Laboratory Control Sample SR=Surrogate Recovery %

MS= Matrix Spike

MSD= Matrix Spike Duplicate

r= Correlation Coefficient %D= % Drift

%R= % Recovery

RPD= Relative Percent Difference RL= Reporting Leve